UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/732,771 | 12/09/2003 | John R. Bennett | 117846.02 6950 | | | |
| | 7590 01/04/2007 CORPORATION | EXAMINER | | | | |
| ATTN: PATENT GROUP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052-6399 | | | PHAM, HUNG Q | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2168 | | | |
| | | | · | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | • | | 01/04/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/732,771 | BENNETT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| HUNG Q. PHAM | 2168 | | |

| | HUNG Q. PHAM | | 2168 | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover she | et with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TI | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | on the same day as filing lowing replies: (1) an am Notice of Appeal (with ap | g a Notice of a nendment, affi opeal fee) in c | Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail to the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) | s Advisory Action, or (2) the re later than SIX MONTHS for or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (| date set forth from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL | ate on which the petition und extension and the correspone shortened statutory period ater than three months after | nding amount of d for reply origi | of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. AMENDMENTS | tension thereof (37 CFR | (41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or | consideration and/or sea elow); | arch (see NO | TE below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR | 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | | ce of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). | | • | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-18. | | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | but before or on the date and sufficient reasons wh | e of filing a No hy the affidav | tice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess. | o overcome <u>all</u> rejections | s under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered | · | | condition for allowar | ice because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s 13. ☐ Other: | s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No | o(s) | Hung Pham Examiner AU 2168 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added features of claims 1 and new claims 19-37 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.